| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES D | ISTRICT COURT |
| 5 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA | |
| 6 | SHAUNA M. RIDGLEY, | |
| 7 | Plaintiff, | CASE NO. C13-5396 BHS |
| 8 | v. | ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |
| 9 | CAROLYN COLVIN, Acting | |
| 10 | Commissioner of Social Security, | |
| 11 | Defendant. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") | |
| 14 | of the Honorable Karen L. Strombom, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 22), and | |
| 15 | Plaintiff Shauna Ridgley's objections to the R&R (Dkt. 23). | |
| 16 | On June 4, 2014, Judge Strombom issued the R&R recommending that the Court | |
| 17 | affirm the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") decision that Ridgley is not disabled. | |
| 18 | Dkt. 22. On June 18, 2014, Ridgley filed objections. Dkt. 23. | |
| 19 | The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's | |
| 20 | disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or | |
| 21 | modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the | |
| 22 | magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ | v. P. 72 (b)(3). |

| 1 | In this case, Ridgley asserts numerous objections to the R&R. The majority of | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Ridgley's objections are based on a disagreement whether the ALJ's conclusions were | | |
| 3 | supported by substantial evidence. On these issues, the Court agrees with and adopts | | |
| 4 | Judge Strombom's R&R. With regard to the ALJ's rejection of the testimony of Jenny | | |
| 5 | Simons, the Court agrees with Ridgley that the ALJ relied on a false factual premise | | |
| 6 | regarding when Simons met Ridgley. The ALJ, however, found Simons to be | | |
| 7 | unobservant and substantial evidence supports the rejection of Simons's observations | | |
| 8 | based on Ridgley's continued illicit drug use. Moreover, Ridgley fails to show that any | | |
| 9 | error was harmful and the Court can confidently conclude that no reasonable ALJ, when | | |
| 10 | fully crediting the testimony, could have reached a different disability determination. | | |
| 11 | Stout v. Commissioner, Social Sec. Admin., 454 F.3d 1050, 1056 (9th Cir. 2006). | | |
| 12 | Therefore, the Court having considered the R&R, Plaintiff's objections, and the | | |
| 13 | remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows: | | |
| 14 | (1) The R&R is ADOPTED ; | | |
| 15 | (2) The ALJ's decision is AFFIRMED ; and | | |
| 16 | (3) This action is DISMISSED . | | |
| 17 | Dated this 14th day of August, 2014. | | |
| 18 | k. AC | | |
| 19 | BENJAMIN H. SETTLE | | |
| 20 | United States District Judge | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |